


Notice of Meeting
Groundwater Management Area 15

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Chapter 36 of the Texas Water Code that the groundwater conservation districts located wholly or partially with Groundwater Management Area 15 consisting of Bee Groundwater Conservation District, Calhoun County Groundwater Conservation District, Coastal Bend Groundwater Conservation District, Coastal Plains Groundwater Conservation District, Colorado County Groundwater Conservation District, Corpus Christi Aquifer Storage and Recovery Conservation District, Evergreen Underground Water Conservation District, Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District, Goliad County Groundwater Conservation District, Pecan Valley Groundwater Conservation District, Refugio Groundwater Conservation District, Texana Groundwater Conservation District, and Victoria County Groundwater Conservation District will hold a joint planning meeting at **9:30 AM on Thursday, March 12, 2026 at the Victoria County Groundwater Conservation District Offices, 1501 E. Mockingbird Lane, Suite 104, Victoria, Texas**. The meeting will be open to the public.

Agenda:

1. Call to order and welcome guests.
2. Receive public comment.
3. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to the minutes of the previous meeting.
4. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to budget and financial reports of Groundwater Management Area 15.
5. Consideration of and possible action on reports and communication from Groundwater Management Area 15 member districts and Groundwater Management Area 15 representatives to Regional Water Planning Groups.
6. Consideration of and possible action on reports from Texas Water Development Board representatives to Groundwater Management Area 15.
7. Consideration of and possible action on matters related to joint planning including proposals to adopt new or amend existing desired future conditions.
8. Consideration of and possible action on administrative and organizational matters of Groundwater Management Area 15.
9. Consideration of and possible action on identification and scheduling of future agenda items and meetings.
10. Receive public comment.
11. Adjournment.

Please submit comments, questions, and requests for additional information to Tim Andruss of the Victoria County Groundwater Conservation District by mail at P.O. Box 69, Victoria, Texas 77902 by email at admin@vcgcd.org, or by phone at (361) 579-6863.



Tim Andruss, Administrator
Groundwater Management Area 15

GMA 15 Meeting Packet - 20260312

Agenda Item 1 - Call to order and welcome guests.

GMA 15 - Meeting Notice and Agenda - 20260312.pdf

 File

Roll call of representatives/alternates of member districts of Groundwater Management Area 15:

- Bee Groundwater Conservation District
- Calhoun County Groundwater Conservation District
- Coastal Bend Groundwater Conservation District
- Coastal Plains Groundwater Conservation District
- Colorado County Groundwater Conservation District
- Corpus Christi ASR Conservation District
- Evergreen Underground Water Conservation District
- Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District
- Goliad County Groundwater Conservation District
- Pecan Valley Groundwater Conservation District
- Refugio Groundwater Conservation District
- Texana Groundwater Conservation District
- Victoria County Groundwater Conservation District

Agenda Item 2 - Receive public comment.

Administrator Discussion: none.

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Agenda Item 3 - Consideration of and possible action on matters related to the minutes of the previous meeting.

Administrator Discussion: the draft minutes of the previous meetings were sent to the GMA 15 representatives prior to this meeting.

GMA15 - Meeting Minutes - 20260108 - Draft.pdf

 File

Administrator Recommendation: move to accept and approve the meeting minutes for January 8, 2025, as drafted.

Agenda Item 4 - Consideration of and possible action on matters related to budget and financial reports of Groundwater Management Area 15.


Administrator Discussion: below is a summary of contributions to the GMA 15 Joint Planning Fund:

| Member Districts of GMA 15 | Contribution |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| Bee GCD | \$4,750.00 |
| Calhoun County GCD | \$9,500.00 |
| Coastal Bend GCD | \$9,500.00 |
| Coastal Plains GCD | \$9,500.00 |
| Colorado County GCD | |

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| | \$9,500.00 |
| Corpus Christi ASRCD | \$0.00 |
| Evergreen UWCD | \$4,750.00 |
| Fayette County GCD | \$4,750.00 |
| Goliad County GCD ¹ | \$2,500.00 |
| Pecan Valley GCD | \$9,500.00 |
| Refugio GCD | \$9,500.00 |
| Texana GCD | \$9,500.00 |
| Victoria County GCD | \$9,500.00 |
| Total | \$90,250.00 |

Note 1: GCGCD contribution is not to be used for technical work utilizing the groundwater availability model.

Bank Statement - Act# 3881 - December 2025.pdf

 File

Bank Statement - Act# 3881 - January 2026.pdf

 File

Reporting Period Ending Balance: \$62,025.98

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Agenda Item 5 - Consideration of and possible action on reports and communication from Groundwater Management Area 15 member districts and Groundwater Management Area 15.

representatives to Regional Water Planning Groups

Administrator Discussion: none.

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Agenda Item 6 - Consideration of and possible action on reports from Texas Water Development Board representatives to Groundwater Management Area 15.

Administrator Discussion: none.

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Agenda Item 7 - Consideration of and possible action on matters related to joint planning including the review of management plans and accomplishments of Groundwater Management Area 15 member districts.

Topic 1 - Presentations by Technical Consultants

Administrator Discussion: none.

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Topic 2 - Review of proposals to adopt new or amend existing desired future conditions for Groundwater Management Area 15.

Administrator Discussion:

Based on the motions of the representatives of Groundwater Management Area 15a approved on January 8, 2026, the current proposed Desired Future Condition for Groundwater Management Area 15 is as follows:

The Desired Future Condition for the relevant aquifers within Groundwater Management Area 15 are expressed as water level changes between January 2000 and December 2080 as follows.

Bee County: 7 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System

Calhoun County: 5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System

Colorado County: 17 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers

Colorado County: 25 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer

Dewitt County: 17 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System

Fayette County: 44 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System

Goliad County: 13 feet of drawdown of the Chicot Aquifer

Goliad County: 34 feet of drawdown of the Evangeline Aquifer

Goliad County: 21 feet of drawdown of the Burkeville Aquifer

Goliad County: 21 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer

Jackson County: 15 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System

Karnes County: 22 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System

Matagorda County: 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers

Refugio County: 5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System

Victoria County: 5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System

Wharton County: 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers

Item d-1a of Section 36.108 requires district representatives to "(1) adopt desired future conditions under this section for each approximately 50-year planning period identified by the executive administrator for the preparation of state and regional water plans; and (2) **identify interim values for the desired future conditions adopted under Subdivision (1) for time periods not to exceed 10 years solely to assist the districts in monitoring interim progress in achieving the desired future conditions adopted for the approximately 50-year planning period.**"

Based on the information provided by member districts regarding preferences for interim DFC values, the following chart of interim values and proposed desired future conditions have been assembled for consideration:

| | <i>Identified Interim Values for the Proposed DFCs by Decade</i> | | | | | <i>Proposed DFC</i> <i>Note 1</i> |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | <i>2030</i> | <i>2040</i> | <i>2050</i> | <i>2060</i> | <i>2070</i> | <i>2080</i> |
| <i>Bee County</i> | <i>7 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>7 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>7 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>7 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>7 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>7 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> |
| <i>Calhoun County</i> | <i>5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> |
| <i>Colorado County</i> | <i>17 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers</i> | <i>17 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers</i> | <i>17 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and</i> | <i>17 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and</i> | <i>17 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and</i> | <i>17 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers</i> |

| | | | <i>Evangeline Aquifers</i> | <i>Evangeline Aquifers</i> | <i>Evangeline Aquifers</i> | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| <i>Colorado County</i> | <i>25 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>25 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>25 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>25 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>25 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>25 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> |
| <i>DeWitt County</i> | <i>10 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>10 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>10 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>15 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>15 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>17 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> |
| <i>Fayette County</i> | <i>20 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>20 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>25 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>35 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>40 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>44 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> |
| <i>Goliad County</i> | <i>4.875 ft of drawdown of the Chicot Aquifer</i> | <i>6.5 ft of drawdown of the Chicot Aquifer</i> | <i>8.125 ft of drawdown of the Chicot Aquifer</i> | <i>9.75 ft of drawdown of the Chicot Aquifer</i> | <i>11.375 ft of drawdown of the Chicot Aquifer</i> | <i>13 feet of drawdown of the Chicot Aquifer Note 2</i> |
| <i>Goliad County</i> | <i>12.75 ft of drawdown of the Evangeline Aquifer</i> | <i>17 ft of drawdown of the Evangeline Aquifer</i> | <i>21.25 ft of drawdown of the Evangeline Aquifer</i> | <i>25.5 ft of drawdown of the Evangeline Aquifer</i> | <i>29.75 ft of drawdown of the Evangeline Aquifer</i> | <i>34 feet of drawdown of the Evangeline Aquifer Note 2</i> |
| <i>Goliad County</i> | <i>7.875 ft of drawdown of the Burkeville Aquifer</i> | <i>10.5 ft of drawdown of the Burkeville Aquifer</i> | <i>13.125 ft of drawdown of the Burkeville Aquifer</i> | <i>15.75 ft of drawdown of the Burkeville Aquifer</i> | <i>18.375 ft of drawdown of the Burkeville Aquifer</i> | <i>21 feet of drawdown of the Burkeville Aquifer Note 2</i> |
| <i>Goliad County</i> | <i>7.875 ft of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>10.5 ft of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>13.125 ft of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>15.75 ft of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>18.375 ft of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer</i> | <i>21 feet of drawdown of the Jasper Aquifer Note 2</i> |
| <i>Jackson County</i> | <i>15 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>15 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>15 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>15 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>15 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>15 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> |
| <i>Karnes County</i> | <i>22 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>22 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> | <i>22 feet of drawdown of the Gulf</i> | <i>22 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast</i> | <i>22 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast</i> | <i>22 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System</i> |

| | | | Coast Aquifer System | Aquifer System | Aquifer System | |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Matagorda County | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers Note 3 |
| Refugio County | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System |
| Victoria County | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 ft of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 feet of drawdown of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System |
| Wharton County | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers | 15 feet of drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers |

Note 1: The basis and explanation for proposing the amended desired future conditions is portions of Groundwater Management Area 15 are not located within a district boundary charged with the responsibility to regulate groundwater resources. The lack of regulatory authority regarding the spacing of wells and production of groundwater resources in these areas results in the complete inability by a member district of Groundwater Management Area 15 to, individually or collectively, actively manage groundwater resources to achieve any desired future condition encompassing those unregulated areas. Therefore, this proposal for an amended desired future condition eliminates the County-Specific DFCs" for those unregulated areas, Aransas County and Lavaca County, and eliminates the "GMA-Wide DFC Statement" which applies to those unregulated areas.

Note 2: The basis and explanation for proposing the amended desired future conditions is restatement of the conditions for the Chicot, Evangeline, Burkeville, and Jasper Aquifers as future drawdowns of 13, 34, 21, 21 feet, respectively, represents a realistic and achievable management goal that more closely aligns with the goals established through GMA 15 for adjacent districts.

Note 3: The basis and explanation for proposing the amended desired future conditions is increasing the acceptable of groundwater drawdown of the Chicot and Evangeline Aquifers across Matagorda County from 11 feet of drawdown to 15 feet of drawdown may increase the amount of groundwater that could be produced in Matagorda County to meet future water needs while adequately conserving groundwater resources within Matagorda County and Groundwater Management Area 15.

The desired future conditions proposed under 36.108(d) of the Texas Water code must be approved by a two-thirds vote of all the district representatives.

Administrator Recommendation: approve a motion, by a record vote, to propose the adoption of amended DFCs, interim DFC values, and the plain-language explanation/justification for the proposed change to the previously

adopted DFCs for GMA 15 for distribution to the districts in the management area in accordance with 36.108(d-2) of the Texas Water Code.

Topic 3 - Summaries of Performance in Achieving the Desired Future Conditions

Administrator Discussion: item d-3 of Section 36.108 of the Texas Water Code has been expanded to include the following "(7) include a summary of how each district is performing in achieving the desired future conditions." The requirements established under item d-3 of Section 36.108 of the Texas Water Code must be completed by the representatives of Groundwater Management Area 15 after completing the requirements associated with the 90-day public comment period established under item d-2 of Section 36.108 of the Texas Water Code.

Below is a summary of performance in achieving the desired future conditions submitted by Calhoun County GCD, Refugio GCD, Texana GCD, and Victoria County GCD.

| District | Summary of Performance in Achieving the Desired Future Conditions |
|---------------------|--|
| Calhoun County GCD | <p>The district limits groundwater production and spacing water wells to achieve the existing and proposed desired future conditions for Calhoun County.</p> <p>Based on an analysis of water levels of monitored water wells in and around Calhoun County, the Board of Directors of the Calhoun County Groundwater Conservation District within its annual report for Fiscal Year 2024 "concludes that the condition of the Gulf Coast Aquifer within Calhoun County is in compliance with the district's desired future condition."</p> |
| Refugio GCD | <p>The district limits groundwater production and spacing water wells to achieve the existing and proposed desired future conditions for Refugio County.</p> <p>Based on an analysis of water levels of monitored water wells in and around Refugio County, the Board of Directors of the Refugio Groundwater Conservation District within its annual report for Fiscal Year 2024 "concludes that the condition of the Gulf Coast Aquifer within Refugio County is in compliance with the district's desired future condition."</p> |
| Texana GCD | <p>The district limits groundwater production and spacing water wells to achieve the existing and proposed desired future conditions for Jackson County.</p> <p>Based on an analysis of water levels of monitored water wells in and around Jackson County, the Board of Directors of the Texana Groundwater Conservation District within its annual report for Fiscal Year 2024 "concludes that the condition of the Gulf Coast Aquifer within Jackson County is in compliance with the District's desired future condition."</p> |
| Victoria County GCD | <p>The district limits groundwater production and spacing water wells to achieve the existing and proposed desired future conditions for Victoria County.</p> <p>Based on an analysis of water levels of monitored water wells in and around Victoria County, the Board of Directors of the Victoria County Groundwater Conservation District within its annual report for Fiscal Year 2024 "concludes that the condition of the Gulf Coast Aquifer within Victoria County is in compliance with the district's desired future condition."</p> |

Administrator Recommendation: each representative draft and submit a summary of their district's performance in achieving the desired future conditions.

Topic 4 - Review of management plans of districts in Groundwater Management Area 15.

Administrator Discussion: Section 36.108, Water Code has been revised. See <https://statutes.capitol.texas.gov/?tab=1&code=WA&chapter=WA.36&artSec=36.108>. At the GMA 15 meeting held on January 8, 2026, Mr. Andrus requested that each representative submit to the GMA 15 Administrator, by March 12, 2026, their written

consideration of the performance of each member district or its management plan, as appropriate, using the following prompts:

Prompt 1: regarding 36.108(c-1)(1) of the Texas Water Code, review and consider the impact of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area.

Prompt 2: regarding 36.108(c-1)(2) of the Texas Water Code, review and consider the effectiveness of the measures of the district's management plan for conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general.

Prompt 3: regarding 36.108(c-1)(4) of the Texas Water Code, review and consider the degree the district achieving the relevant desired future conditions through the implementation of its management plan and rules.

Note 3a: please identify the specific management plan reviewed by specifying the district and the adoption date of the plan.

Note 3b: please identify the specific rules reviewed by specifying the district and the adoption date of the rules.

Note 3c: please identify any additional information such as annual reports or monitoring data reviewed to support the consideration of the degree of achievement of the relevant desired future conditions.

Mr. Andruss developed the following consideration of the management plans, rules, and performance of the member districts of Groundwater Management Area 15.

| Member Districts of GMA 15 | Consideration of Performance under 36.108(c-1)(1) | Consideration of Performance under 36.108(c-1)(2) | Consideration of Performance under 36.108(c-1)(4) |
|---|---|--|---|
| Bee GCD Documents reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management Plan (dt: 1/25/2024) • Rules (dt: 10/18/2012) • Performance Report (dt: 12/18/2025) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| Calhoun County GCD Documents reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management Plan (dt: 4/24/2023) • Rules (dt: 10/28/2024) • Performance Report (dt: 5/14/2025) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| Coastal Bend GCD Documents reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management Plan (dt: 3/11/2025) • Rules (dt: 11/14/2023) • Performance Report (dt: 2/26/2026) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| | | district and the management area in general. | district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| <p>Coastal Plains GCD</p> <p>Documents reviewed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management Plan (dt: 8/13/2020) • Rules (dt: 9/15/2022) • Performance Report (dt: 3/2/2026) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| <p>Colorado County GCD</p> <p>Documents reviewed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management Plan (dt: 3/19/2025) • Rules (dt: 9/17/2025) • Performance Report (dt:) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| <p>Corpus Christi ASRCD</p> <p>Documents reviewed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management Plan (dt: 10/28/2025) • Rules (dt: 12/1/2016) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The review of the district's achievement of desired future conditions is not warranted due to a lack of dry land, water wells, or a desired future conditions being established for the portion of the district's jurisdictional boundary within Groundwater Management Area 15. |
| <p>Evergreen UWCD</p> <p>Documents reviewed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management Plan (dt: 11/30/2023) • Rules (dt: 4/25/2025) • Performance Report (dt: 12/18/2025) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| <p>Fayette County GCD</p> <p>Documents reviewed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management Plan (dt: 1/8/2024) • Rules (dt: 9/8/2014) • | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Performance Report (dt: 5/28/2025) | management area should be positive. | protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| Goliad County GCD Documents reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Management Plan (dt: 8/7/2023) Rules (dt: 2/19/2024) Performance Report (dt: 2/9/2026) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the aquifer conditions through the implementation of the district's management plan and enforcement of its rules that would achieve the desired future conditions proposed for Goliad County. |
| Pecan Valley GCD Documents reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Management Plan (dt: 12/14/2023) Rules (dt: 11/21/2023) Performance Report (dt: 2/18/2025) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| Refugio GCD Documents reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Management Plan (dt: 4/17/2023) Rules (dt: 10/21/2024) Performance Report (dt: 4/22/2024) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| Texana GCD Documents reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Management Plan (dt: 4/20/2023) Rules (dt: 10/17/2024) Performance Report (dt: 4/22/2025) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the management area should be positive. | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general. | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules. |
| Victoria County GCD Documents reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Management Plan (dt: 4/21/2023) | The implementation of the district's management plan on planning throughout the | The implementation of the district's management plan should effectively result in | The district appears to be achieving the desired future conditions established |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| <p>Rules (dt: 10/18/2024)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance Report (dt: 5/8025) | <p>management area should be positive.</p> | <p>the conserving and protecting groundwater and preventing waste within the district and the management area in general.</p> | <p>during the joint planning process through the implementation of the district's management plan and the enforcement of its rules.</p> |
|---|--|---|---|

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Agenda Item 8 - Consideration of and possible action on administrative and organizational matters of Groundwater Management Area 15.

Administrator Discussion: none.

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Agenda Item 9 - Consideration of and possible action on identification and scheduling of future agenda items and meetings.

Administrator Discussion: the next meeting of GMA 15 is scheduled for April 9, 2026, at 9:30 AM at 1501 E. Mockingbird Lane, Suite 104, Victoria, Texas.

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Agenda Item 10 - Receive public comment.

Administrator Discussion: none.

Administrator Recommendation: none.

Agenda Item 11 - Adjournment.